

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 07/26/2004

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/028,451	10/22/2001	Fred Mercado	UN-28	2105
20995	7590 07/26/2004		EXAMINER	
KNOBBE MARTENS OLSON & BEAR LLP			CROW, STEPHEN R	
2040 MAIN S			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
IRVINE, CA			3764	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		.10	
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/028,451	MERCADO ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Steve R Crow	3764	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeared for Reply	opears on the cover sneet with	tne correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perio.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu.  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	I.  1.136(a). In no event, however, may a repleated within the statutory minimum of thirty (in the statutory statutory).  It is not statutory minimum of the statutory of	y be timely filed 30) days will be considered timely. IS from the mailing date of this communication. IDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
<ul> <li>1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19</li> <li>2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) Th</li> <li>3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under</li> </ul>	nis action is non-final. vance except for formal matter		
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-27 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrest is/are withdrest is/are allowed.  6) ☐ Claim(s) 24-27 is/are rejected.  7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and is/are objected.	rawn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examination The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) and a constant any not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct of the constant of	ccepted or b) objected to by se drawing(s) be held in abeyance ection is required if the drawing(s)	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents.	nts have been received. nts have been received in Appiority documents have been re au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	olication No eceived in this National Stage	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	st of the certified copies not re	ceivea.	
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		Mail Date	
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	8) 5) Notice of Info 6) Other:	rmal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Application/Control Number: 10/028,451 Page 2

Art Unit: 3764

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Information Disclosure Statement

1. The information disclosure statement filed 10-22-01 fails to comply with 37 CFR 1.98(a)(2), which requires a legible copy of each U.S. and foreign patent; each publication or that portion which caused it to be listed; and all other information or that portion which caused it to be listed. It has been placed in the application file, but the information referred to therein has not been considered.

The examiner suggests an electronic filing of the patent numbers.

## Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

2. Claims 24-27 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over the claims of U.S. Patent No. 6183398. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the claim breath is the same. Applicant has not positively recited the seat.

Application/Control Number: 10/028,451 Page 3

Art Unit: 3764

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

4. Claims 1-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 1 line 16, "as to the ground" is not understood. The examiner suggests stating "relative horizontal movement of said foot pedals".

Liekwise with claims 8 and 12.

In claim 13 line 3, "mechanical" should be deleted.

In claim 15 lines 12-14 are not understood. Applicant should claim "a base" and "a ground connection connecting the base..".

In claims 24-27, the seat and the motor has not been positively claimed.

#### Conclusion

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Steve R Crow whose telephone number is 703-308-3398. The examiner can normally be reached on Reg:8:30-6;Off First Friday.

Application/Control Number: 10/028,451

Art Unit: 3764

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nicholas Lucchesi can be reached on 703-308-2698. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

sc

STEPHEN R. CROW PRIMARY EXAMINER ART UNIT 332

Som

Page 4